REVIEW ARTICLE

Are Phenotypes of PCOS and Vitamin D Levels Interlinked

Karri Lakshmi Manasa¹, Seetesh Ghose², Mangaiyarkarasi Ravirajan³

Received on: 19 February 2021; Accepted on: 16 June 2021; Published on: 31 December 2022

Abstract

Aim: The present review is done to analyze the serum vitamin D levels in different phenotypes of polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS).

Objectives: To estimate the serum levels of vitamin D in women with PCOS and without PCOS. To find out the distribution of different phenotypes of PCOS. To compare the serum vitamin D levels in different phenotypes of PCOS.

Background: Polycystic ovarian syndrome is the most common heterogeneous multisystem endocrinopathy in women of reproductive age. It is further divided into four phenotypes based on Rotterdam criteria. Vitamin D levels are known to be low among women with PCOS. Only limited literature is available related to vitamin D deficiency and the phenotypes of PCOS. This review has highlighted the phenotypes of PCOS and their correlation with vitamin D levels.

Methods: An electronic search strategy was used to select the studies from different databases like PubMed, Google Scholar, SciELO, and ResearchGate. A combination of keywords like vitamin D, PCOS, and phenotypes of PCOS were used to select the studies. Inclusion criteria were studies in English, duration of search of 18 months, case–control studies and cross-sectional studies only.

Results: Phenotype A was the most frequently found phenotype among the different phenotypes of PCOS. After analyzing the data, there was a positive association between vitamin D and PCOS. Obese women were more prone to have vitamin D deficiency. Women with vitamin D deficiency were found to have ovarian dysfunction and insulin resistance. But there was no strong consensus on the association between vitamin D and phenotypes of PCOS.

Conclusion: We found a statistically significant difference in mean serum vitamin D levels among the women with PCOS and without PCOS. The most common phenotype was phenotype A and the least common was phenotype D. There was no significant difference in serum vitamin D levels in respect to different phenotypes of PCOS. Further studies with larger sample size in each phenotype are recommended to conclusively establish the variation of serum vitamin D level in PCOS, particularly related to phenotypes.

Clinical significance: Vitamin D supplementation was found to be beneficial for women with PCOS.

Keywords: Phenotypes of polycystic ovarian syndrome, Polycystic ovarian syndrome, Vitamin D.

Annals of SBV (2022): 10.5005/jp-journals-10085-9101

INTRODUCTION

Polycystic ovarian syndrome is defined as clinical and/or biochemical hyperandrogenism, ovulatory dysfunction (chronic oligomenorrhea or amenorrhea), and polycystic ovarian morphology after excluding other androgen excess or related disorders based on Rotterdam criteria. The presence of any two of these three features is suggestive of PCOS. Contingently, four unique phenotypes are recognized as per the Rotterdam and Androgen Excess-PCOS (AE-PCOS) Society criteria. The phenotypes prevailing PCOS are A, B, C, and D. Phenotype A has features of hyperandrogenism, ovulatory disturbances, and polycystic ovarian morphology; phenotype B has features of hyperandrogenism and ovulatory disturbances; phenotype C has hyperandrogenism and polycystic ovarian morphology features; whereas phenotype D has ovulatory disturbances plus polycystic ovarian morphology features.¹

Vitamin D is a fat-soluble secosteroid. Its deficiency has been attributed to various symptoms of PCOS. Wehr et al. in their study stated that women with PCOS were found to have low serum vitamin D levels.² The relationship between phenotypes of PCOS and vitamin D was insignificant as stated by Sachdeva et al.³ However, Davis et al. in his study stated that vitamin D deficiency was seen in phenotype A and there was no association between vitamin D deficiency and phenotype D. Moreover, only limited literatures are available about the association between vitamin D and different phenotypes of PCOS.

^{1–3}Department of OBGYN, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute, Puducherry, India

Corresponding Author: Karri Lakshmi Manasa, Department of OBGYN, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute, Puducherry, India, Phone: +91 8978072730, e-mail: manasanaidu307@ gmail.com

How to cite this article: Manasa KL, Ghose S, Ravirajan M. Are Phenotypes of PCOS and Vitamin D Levels Interlinked. Ann SBV 2022;11(2):33–35.

Source of support: Nil Conflict of interest: None

Methods

An electronic search strategy was used to select the studies from different databases like PubMed, Google Scholar, SciELO, and ResearchGate. A combination of keywords like vitamin D, PCOS, and phenotypes of PCOS were used to select the studies. Inclusion criteria were studies in English, duration of search of 18 months, case–control studies, and cross-sectional studies only. However, systemic reviews and meta-analyses were excluded from the review. The total number of articles reviewed was 50; of which only 21 articles fulfilled the inclusion criteria. The selected articles were further assessed for the quality of the research and included in the review.

[©] The Author(s). 2022 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons. org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and non-commercial reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

RESULTS

Kim et al. in a case–control study stated that women with PCOS showed no differences in the level of 25-hydroxy vitamin D [25(OH)D] (19.6 ± 6.6 ng/mL in patients vs 20.1 ± 7.4 ng/mL in controls, respectively, p = 0.696) or prevalence of vitamin D deficiency (57.9% in patients vs 56.5% in controls, respectively, p = 0.880).⁹

In 2018, a case–control study done by Davis et al. stated that 59.9% of PCOS cases lacked sufficient vitamin D levels. The odds of vitamin D deficiency in all PCOS cases were twice that of controls; however, the association was attenuated after adjusting for body mass index (BMI) and race/ethnicity. When examining PCOS phenotypes exhibiting androgen excess, crude associations were observed for deficient vitamin D levels; however, the association decreased after adjustment for BMI and race/ethnicity.²⁰

In a cross-sectional study by Krul et al., serum 25(OH)D was significantly lower in PCOS women compared to controls (mean 25(OH)D of 49.0 vs 64.5 nmol/L).¹⁵

In 2017, Diamanti et al. analyzed the phenotypic spectrum of PCOS and stated that classic PCOS phenotype was more frequent (85.96%) than the nonclassical phenotype (14.04%).

DISCUSSION

Phenotypes of PCOS

PCOS was first diagnosed in 1935. Later, based on Rotterdam criteria, four unique phenotypes of PCOS were identified. Currently, only limited literatures are available about PCOS and its phenotypes. Zhang et al. in a study to analyze the phenotypic spectrum of PCOS stated that phenotype A accounts for 26.8%, phenotype B 7.6%, phenotype C 13.4%, and phenotype D 52.2%.⁴ A prospective study done by Diamanti et al. stated that classic phenotype (chronic anovulation with biochemical hyperandrogenemia and/ or hyperandrogenism) was 85.96% and nonclassical phenotype (polycystic ovaries and/or chronic anovulation or polycystic ovaries and biochemical hyperandrogenemia and/or hyperandrogenism) was 14.4%.⁵ Pehlivanov et al. conducted a study among the Bulgarian population and stated that the percentages of phenotypes A, B, C, and D in their study were 58.6, 11.4, 10.0, and 20.0%, respectively.⁶ In another study done by Clark et al., the prevalence of Frank PCOS was 66%, ovulatory PCOS was 13%, normoandrogenic PCOS was 11%, and non-PCO PCOS was 9%.⁷ In a study done in India by Sachdeva et al., the most prevalent phenotype was phenotype A of 67.7% and the least prevalent was phenotype D of 3.6% (Table 1).³

Vitamin D and PCOS

Vitamin D deficiency, obesity, and insulin resistance are strongly linked to the development of PCOS. The probable mechanism is decreased protein-bound vitamin D altering calcium homeostasis by decreasing the absorption of calcium, resulting in increased parathyroid hormone secretion. This increased parathyroid hormone binds to G-cell protein receptor, causing increased cyclic adenosine monophosphate, resulting in phosphorylation of

Table 1: Proportion of	^r each phenotype ir	individual studies
------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------

Authors	Phenotype A	Phenotype B	Phenotype C	Phenotype D
Zhang et al.	26.8%	7.6%	13.4%	52.2%
Pehlivanov				
et al.	58.6%	11.4%	10.0%	20.0%
Clark et al.	66%	9%	13%	11%

insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS-1). This decreases the sensitivity of insulin receptors, resulting in insulin resistance and altered glucose homeostasis and ultimately resulting in hyperandrogenism and menstrual irregularities which is one of the features of PCOS. Hence, vitamin D plays a major role in the development of PCOS. Due to the constant correlation between obesity, insulin resistance, and PCOS, few studies have mentioned the probable mechanism and the positive and/or negative correlation among the three.

A cross-sectional study done by Kayaniyil et al. showed a positive correlation between low serum vitamin D levels, insulin resistance, and beta-cell dysfunction.⁸ In contrast to the results of the studies mentioned, studies done by Kim et al. and Jedrzejuk et al. stated that there was no statistically significant relationship between vitamin D and PCOS.^{9,10} Jia et al. conducted a study to correlate serum vitamin D and PCOS. The study concluded that vitamin D deficiency was more prevalent in women with PCOS. It also stated a negative association between insulin resistance and PCOS.¹¹ Another such study done by He et al. in 2015 compared the vitamin D levels in women with PCOS (cases) and without PCOS (controls). It was found that serum vitamin D levels were low in cases compared to controls. It also stated that there was a significant alteration in glucose homeostasis in cases with the prevalence of insulin resistance accounting for 50–70%.¹²

Sidabutar et al. conducted a cross-sectional comparative study on 23 women with PCOS and 23 women without PCOS. This study stated that PCOS women with waist-hip ratio >0.85 had lower vitamin D levels and the levels were significantly lower in the cases than in the controls. Vitamin D also plays a key role in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes mellitus.¹³ Research related to phenotypes of PCOS was done to see the beneficial effect of vitamin D supplementation in a specific phenotype of PCOS. It was a randomized double-blind trial conducted by Maktabi et al. done among 70 vitamin D deficient (<20 ng/mL) PCOS cases belonging to phenotype B. Thirty-five cases received vitamin D every 2 weeks for 12 weeks and the rest belonged to the placebo group. After the 12th week, it was observed that vitamin D supplementation had beneficial effects on women with PCOS.¹⁴

A cross-sectional study done by Krul et al. with a total of 639 cases (women with PCOS) and 449 controls (women without PCOS) stated a positive association between vitamin D deficiency and PCOS.¹⁵ It also stated that women in the PCOS group had higher insulin resistance, as stated by the previous study done by He et al. A study done by Jukic et al. assessed the menstrual cycle length and the association between individual phases and the effect of vitamin D supplementation on the menstrual cycle. Vitamin D deficiency was more in women among mid-30s and was associated with a longer menstrual cycle and follicular phase with a shorter luteal phase. A direct association between vitamin D supplementation and improvement in cycle length was noted.¹⁶ A research done by Lagowska et al. stated that vitamin D deficiency is associated with an imbalance in androgen, resulting in menstrual disorders and alteration of insulin levels.⁶ Vitamin D in the adipose tissue raises high-density lipoprotein levels, helps adipokine profile, and increases leptin levels.

A case-control study done by Shah et al. concluded that there was a significant relationship between PCOS and low vitamin D. It also states that low vitamin D levels are associated with menstrual irregularities, hirsutism, and hyperandrogenism.¹⁷ There are few other studies (Wehr et al., Hahn et al., and Elkhoy et al.) which stated a positive correlation between vitamin D and PCOS.^{2,18,19} Only a few studies were done to correlate the vitamin D levels with



different phenotypes of PCOS. Davis et al. conducted a case–control study to evaluate the associations between vitamin D and different phenotypes of PCOS. They have concluded that a higher proportion (59.9%) of PCOS cases had low vitamin D levels than controls (47.6%, p = 0.06) and vitamin D deficiency occurred more frequently in PCOS cases with androgen excess, thus the phenotype of PCOS with hyperandrogenism as a feature.²⁰

A retrospective study was done to assess serum vitamin D levels in different phenotypes of PCOS by Eftekhar et al. in 200 infertile women who were further divided into four phenotypes of PCOS, with 50 normal subjects being also recruited in the control group with normal ovulatory function. Higher serum vitamin D levels were noted in the control group whereas there was no significant difference in serum vitamin D levels among different phenotypes.²¹

The aim of the present review is to find literatures associated with phenotypes of PCOS and vitamin D.

CONCLUSION

We found a statistically significant difference in mean serum vitamin D levels among women with and without PCOS. The most common phenotype was phenotype A and the least common was phenotype D. There was no significant difference in serum vitamin D levels in respect to different phenotypes of PCOS. Although vitamin D deficiency among women with PCOS is well established, the variation in different phenotypes is not established. Further studies on PCOS phenotypes with larger sample sizes are recommended to establish associations with lower vitamin D levels.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author sincerely thank his guide Dr. Seetesh Ghose, Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute, who supported me throughout my dissertation with patience and knowledge and encouraged me to work in my own way. The author also thank Dr. Mangaikarasi (Scientist CIDRF) for helping me out with the procedure and for her valuable support and guidance.

The author thank Dr. G. Ezhumalai for his valuable support in statistical analysis. The author also express my sincere thanks to The Chairman and the Management who were kind enough to permit me to use the hospital services and medical college facilities needed for this study. The author forever grateful to my family members and friends for being a constant source of my emotional and moral support and for bearing with me throughout this endeavor.

REFERENCES

- Azziz R, Carmina E, Dewailly D, Diamanti-Kandarakis E, Escobar-Morreale HF, Futterweit W, et al. The Androgen Excess and PCOS Society criteria for the polycystic ovary syndrome: the complete task force report. Fertil Steril 2009;91(2):456–488. DOI: 10.1016/j. fertnstert.2008.06.035.
- Wehr E, Pilz S, Schweighofer N, Giuliani A, Kopera D, Pieber TR, et al. Association of hypovitaminosis D with metabolic disturbances in polycystic ovary syndrome. Eur J Endocrinol 2009;161(4):575–582. DOI: 10.1530/EJE-09-0432.
- Sachdeva G, Gainder S, Suri V, Sachdeva N, Chopra S. Comparison of the different PCOS phenotypes based on clinical metabolic, and hormonal profile, and their response to clomiphene. Indian J Endocrinol Metab 2019;23(3):326. DOI: 10.4103/ijem.IJEM_30_19.
- Zhang H, Zhu F, Xiong J, Shi X, Fu S. Characteristics of different phenotypes of polycystic ovary syndrome based on the Rotterdam

criteria in a large-scale Chinese population: characteristics of different polycystic ovary syndrome phenotypes. BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol 2009;116(12):1633–1639. DOI: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.2009.02347.x.

- 5. Diamanti Kandarakis E, Panidis D. Unravelling the phenotypic map of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS): a prospective study of 634 women with PCOS. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2007;67(5):735–742. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2265.2007.02954.x.
- Pehlivanov B, Orbetzova M. Characteristics of different phenotypes of polycystic ovary syndrome in a Bulgarian population. Gynecol Endocrinol Off J Int Soc Gynecol Endocrinol 2007;23(10):604–609. DOI: 10.1080/09513590701536246.
- Clark NM, Podolski AJ, Brooks ED, Chizen DR, Pierson RA, Lehotay DC, et al. Prevalence of polycystic ovary syndrome phenotypes using updated criteria for polycystic ovarian morphology. Reprod Sci 2014;21(8):1034–1043. DOI: 10.1177/1933719114522525.
- 8. Kayaniyil S, Vieth R, Retnakaran R, Knight JA, Qi Y, Gerstein HC, et al. Association of vitamin D with insulin resistance and β -cell dysfunction in subjects at risk for type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2010;33(6):1379–1381. DOI: 10.2337/dc09-2321.
- Kim JJ, Choi YM, Chae SJ, Hwang KR, Yoon SH, Kim MJ, et al. Vitamin D deficiency in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Clin Exp Reprod Med 2014;41(2):80–85. DOI: 10.5653/cerm.2014.41.2.80.
- Jedrzejuk D, Łaczmański Ł, Milewicz A, Kuliczkowska-Płaksej J, Lenarcik-Kabza A, Hirnle L, et al. Classic PCOS phenotype is not associated with deficiency of endogenous vitamin D and VDR gene polymorphisms rs731236 (Taql), rs7975232 (Apal), rs1544410 (Bsml), rs10735810 (Fokl): a case–control study of lower Silesian women. Gynecol Endocrinol 2015;31(12):976–979. DOI: 10.3109/09513590.2015.1062865.
- Jia XZ, Wang Y-M, Zhang N, Guo L-N, Zhen X-L, Li H, et al. Effect of vitamin D on clinical and biochemical parameters in polycystic ovary syndrome women: A meta-analysis. J Obstet Gynaecol Res 2015;41(11):1791–1802. DOI: 10.1111/jog.12793.
- 12. He C, Lin Z, Robb SW, Ezeamama AE. Serum vitamin D levels and polycystic ovary syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutrients 2015;7(6):4555–4577. DOI: 10.3390/nu7064555.
- Sidabutar E, Halim B, Siregar MFG, Lutan D, Adenin I, Kaban Y. Vitamin D levels in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. KnE Med 2016;1(1):125–132. DOI: 10.18502/kme.v1i1.547.
- Maktabi M, Chamani M, Asemi Z. The effects of vitamin D supplementation on metabolic status of patients with polycystic ovary syndrome: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Horm Metab Res 2017;49(7):493–498. DOI: 10.1055/s-0043-107242.
- 15. Krul Poel YHM, Koenders PP, Steegers-Theunissen RP, Boekel E ten, Wee MM ter, Louwers Y, et al. Vitamin D and metabolic disturbances in polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS): a cross-sectional study. PLoS One 2018;13(12). DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0204748.
- Jukic AMZ, Wilcox AJ, McConnaughey DR, Weinberg CR, Steiner AZ. 25-hydroxyvitamin D and long menstrual cycles in a prospective cohort study. Epidemiol Camb Mass 2018;29(3):388–396. DOI: 10.1097/ EDE.000000000000804.
- 17. Shah S, Ramadevi. Case control study of serum vitamin D levels in PCOS patients. Int J Sci Res 2018;17(8):16–22. DOI: 10.9790/0853-1708061622.
- Hahn S, Haselhorst U, Tan S, Quadbeck B, Schmidt M, Roesler S, et al. Low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations are associated with insulin resistance and obesity in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes Off J Ger Soc Endocrinol Ger Diabetes Assoc 2006;114(10):577–583. DOI: 10.1055/s-2006-948308.
- 19. Elkholy SSh, Mostafa RA, Riad AA. Assessment of vitamin D levels in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome. Egypt J Hosp Med 2018;70(4):594–600. DOI: 10.12816/0043811.
- Davis EM, Peck JD, Hansen KR, Neas BR, Craig LB. Associations between vitamin D levels and polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) phenotypes. Minerva Endocrinol 2018;44(2):176–184. DOI: 10.23736/ S0391-1977.18.02824-9.
- Eftekhar M, Mirashemi ES, Molaei B, Pourmasumi S. Is there any association between vitamin D levels and polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) phenotypes? 2020;64(1):11–16. DOI: 10.20945/2359-3997000000177.